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Introduction

What are the causes of the large differences observed in the living standards across human communities? Legal origin theory (also called law and finance theory) proposes an attractive answer to this fundamental question by focusing on the origin of the legal institutions (La Porta et al., 1997, 1998, 2000, hereafter LLSV). The first part of this theory is that financial development achieved in one country depends on the enforcement of private property rights, support of private contractual arrangements, and protections of the legal right of investors. Second, the legal origin theory assumes that the legal tradition has a long-term impact on the attainment of these institutional qualities. Thus, the legal origin theory assumes that legal tradition provides an explanation for current financial development. The main claim of this theory is the superiority of common law compared to civil law in fostering financial development. Furthermore, financial development is proved to promote economic growth (see a survey in Pasali, 2013). This promotion of economic growth by financial development brings an additional causal relationship: the legal tradition helps to explain current economic development. This appealing theory remains highly discussed. Xu (2011) reports 15 articles of LLSV and 17 articles applying the methodology of LLSV supporting their conclusions and 17 articles and one book doubting their theory.

The present paper uses an original empirical field to test this theory. Law and finance studies present France as the model and source of the most frequent civil law regimes. This claim is true after the Revolution but arguably false during the Old Regime, at which time France was strictly divided in two parts. The south part was called, the “written law countries” (pays de droit écrit), and was under Roman law, namely the code of Justinian (Corpus iuris civilis). The northern part of France was under several customary laws (pays de droit coutumier) which work like the English common law. This dichotomy within Old Regime France provides a similar opposition to the one stressed by the law and finance literature between common and civil law countries in contemporaneous time.

Law and finance theory emphasizes two channels through which legal origin influences financial development and then economic outcomes (see Beck et al., 2003). First, the “political” channel contends that (a) legal traditions differ in terms of the priority that they attach to private property rights versus the rights of the state and (b) the protection of private contracting rights forms the basis of financial development (LLSV, 1999). English common law evolved to protect private property owners against the crown, whereas civil law provides a tool for state-control (La Porta et al., 2008). Second, the “adaptability” channel which stresses that (a) legal traditions differ in their ability to evolve with changing economic conditions (Hayek, 1960) and (b) legal traditions that adapt quickly to minimize the gap between the contracting needs of the economy and the legal system’s capabilities will more effectively foster financial development than more rigid legal traditions. The judge-made law principle of common law is more efficient in adapting the law to new economic needs than the codes of the civil law regimes.

These theoretical mechanisms hold for Old Regime France. A “political” channel existed allowing the state to intervene more readily in the southern part of the country. Indeed, the French state could use the role conferred to the Roman Emperor by the Justinian code to gain rights whereas this way did not exist in the customary part of the country. The French king used the Caesarism of the Justinian text, placing the emperor above the law using the legal maxim “the king is emperor in his kingdom”; for example, to fight against feudalism (Chénon, 1929, vol. 0, p. 507). The “adaptability”
channel is also verified since in the civil law part of the country, the judge must refer to the *corpus iuris civilis* whereas he beneficiates from greater freedom in the customary area. In the customary part of France, it is forbidden for a judge to refer to the Roman codes in order to make decisions. As for modern common law, we can suspect the customary legal regime of northern France to have offered superior adaptability to social and economic needs. As in common law, the main source of law is the cases judged in customary France whereas in the civil law area, the law had been written in Constantinople during the 6th century. Thus, the dichotomy between customary and civil legal regimes in Old Regime France provides a clear identification of the theoretical effects of the legal tradition stressed by the law and finance theory.

The need for legal solutions, especially on clear property rights, in the Old Regime economy was just as crucial as those of more recent periods. Firstly, the credit market of Old Regime France was well-developed and multiform. Today, the quality of legal rules influences savers to be more or less willing to invest. This is also the case during Old Regime France even if some of the current legal rules known to play a role, for instance the protection of share-holders, do not make sense. Secondly, the 17th and 18th centuries spanned a period of great economic changes, including changes in farming methods. New farming methods required the clarification of property rights concerning the usage rights of the village communities (North and Thomas, 1973). In addition, the period saw the birth of large firms (manufactures) and new financial tools (Hoffman et al., 2001). Thirdly, before the Revolution, the legal system was the result of about eight centuries of accumulated rules and contracts originally based on feudality, whereas the social system had evolved, making necessary legal adaptations along the way. Furthermore, the French state tried to intervene in the economy for centuries (e.g. Colbertisme).

We test the prediction of higher financial and thus economic development in the customary area compared to civil law part of France. According to legal origin theory, the civil law area suffered centuries of legal institutions that upset financial development. The centuries of comparatively higher financial development in the customary area should have allowed a higher level of economic growth and thus better economic performance. To assess the economic development per capita, we use fiscal and census data from 86 local areas called Departments (*Départements*) of the period following the end of the Napoleonic era (1817-1821). If the legal context is crucial enough to affect strongly the economic outcomes, it should still be observable 13 years after the introduction of a common legal system: the Code Civil in 1804. We use a variety of variables to control for fundamental factors explaining differences in economic development across France.

The main contribution of this paper is to analyze and test law and finance theory on a new experimental field rather than the typical international comparisons, bringing new data to bear on a hot issue. Our empirical field offers three categories of advantages. First, compared to international tests of legal origin theory, this within France analysis allows us to escape the other main factors influencing the economic development. International comparisons are notoriously plagued by the difficulty of netting out the effects of other fundamental causes of economic development (Acemoglu et al., 2005). Recent research highlights several explanations for economic development, from which this paper is forearmed, such as the English and Protestant culture (Stulz and Williamson, 2003), conditions of transplantation of the legal regime (Acemoglu et al., 2001), geography (Diamond, 1997), prevalence of malaria (Gallup and Sachs, 2001), share of European population (Easterly and Levine, 2012), ethnic fractionalization (Alesina and La Ferrara, 2005) and effects of very
long-term history, such as the state of technologies centuries ago (Comin et al., 2010), the birth of a state (Bockstette et al., 2002), year 1500 origin of current population (Putterman and Weil, 2010), the level of genetic diversity (Ashraf and Galor, 2013).

Second, our period of analysis avoids the heterogeneous structural effects of the industrial Revolution. Our observations are free from the effect of the presence of coal and iron mines, which will provide large advantages to several locations in the following decades (Pomeranz, 2000). It is also before the railways, which could at the same time be a consequence of previous economic development (the railways are designed to serve the richest part of the population) and bring large advantages to the future development of areas served.

Third, our measures of economic outcomes can be compared without any serious doubt since they come from a homogeneous fiscal system and reliable census data.

Customary law Departments exhibit a significantly higher economic development but fundamental factors also need to be controlled for. Several exogenous factors are identified to explain the economic development of a Department. A high number of kilometers of navigable rivers and the historical presence of a Parliament in a Department are favorable to economic development, whereas a high average altitude, percentage of bad land, quantity of rain, distance from Paris, and distance from the closest university lead to weaker economic development. After control for one or several of these fundamental factors, the higher economic development of the customary part of the country disappears. Worst, the first prediction of the legal origin theory, i.e. a higher financial development, is not verified since civil law Departments show a higher contracting activity per capita after control for either fundamental factors or the general level of development.

This study joins a recent burgeoning literature documenting and exploiting institutional variations within countries. Various countries are explored including India (Banerjee and Iyer, 2005), Peru (Dell, 2010), Brazil (Naritomi et al., 2012), America (Bruhn and Gallego, 2012) and Africa (Michalopoulos and Papaioannou, 2013). But all of these papers found strong evidence of the effect of institutions on long-run economic development at the within-country level while this paper does not confirm the effect of one institution, the legal regime, on the economic outcomes within France.

This study is organized as follows. The next section details the historical background of the two French legal regimes. Section 2 explains the data we use. Section 3 measures the higher economic development of the customary area. Section 4 rejects the legal explanation after control for fundamental factors. Focusing on the financial development, the section 5 provides another reject of the legal origin theory. Section 6 gives several tests of robustness for the rejections while section 7 investigates potential explanations. The last section concludes.

---

2 Even if all the Departments had not been attached to the French state at the same dates, all parts of France benefitted from one state for about the same period.
Historical background of the experimental field

Old Regime France, legal dichotomy and diversity

Despite the gradual expansion of the kingdom of France, existing local laws remained in force up to 1789. Voltaire mocked France’s fragmented pre-Revolution legal system by writing, “When you travel in this Kingdom, you change legal systems as often as you change horses.” (in Beck et al., 2003). Indeed, about 80 general customs and 380 local customs were in force within the Old Regime France. As an example, the Parliament of Paris judged in last resort cases according to 50 different customs (Chénon, 1929, vol. I, p. 323). Montesquieu was highly favorable to this diversity as a guarantee against potential despotism of one unique law. According to Montesquieu, the laws must be diversified, as diversified are the peoples. These various legal regimes were applied by several forms of court and not only a royal justice; the decline of feudal and municipal justice was only gradual (Chénon, 1929, vol. I, p. 491). There was sometimes up to four levels of courts of appeal (Chénon, 1929 vol. 0, p. 886). Even for last resort cases, 14 Parliaments existed across France to act as “supreme court”.

This diversity of the legal regimes was headed by a strict partition between two legal principles. Northern France was under customary law (pays de coutumes, customary countries) whereas the southern part (pays de droit écrit, written law countries) was under Roman law, namely the corpus iuris civilis of Justinian (Ourliac and Malafosse, 1968, vol. 3, p. 7). In the South, Roman law was positive law, thus the “written law” had to be taken into account in all circumstances (lex scripta). The judge had to apply the comprehensive Justinian code, which offered him less freedom and initiatives than in customary country (Declareuil, 1925, p. 825). Facing a novelty, the judge of the written law countries is constraint to use analogies with the cases mentioned in the Roman codes. The strict application of the Justinian law in written law country is reinforced in the 16th century, because the Roman law “reborn a second time” with new methods and new texts, better distributed through print (Chénon, 1929, vol. I, p. 329).

In customary country, the Roman law could only have a doctrinal influence as in English common law (Plucknett, 1939). In the northern part of France, Roman law was not the “law” that had the force of positive law but was valid only if a judge wanted to take it into account as ratio scripta for its power of suggestion and as a “reasonable” aid in making a difficult judicial decision. But lawyers in trial cannot refer to the Justinian codes in the customary area according an Ordinance of Philippe III in

---

3 Also, Voltaire : « a lawyer who is very learned in his city, will be an ignoramus in the nearby town, Dialogue entre un plaideur et un avocat.

4 « Le monarque, qui connaît chacune de ses provinces, peut établir diverses lois ou souffrir différentes coutumes, mais le despot [...] gouverne par une volonté rigide qui est partout la même ; tout s’aplanit sous ses pieds » (Esprit des Lois, VI, 1).

5 This diversity contrast with the English case of centralized law following reforms instituted during the reign of Henry II (1154-1189). The new system became known as the “common law” because it was a law for the entire kingdom, in contrast to the varied customs applied by local courts (Klerman and Mahoney, 2007). The English law was thus highly centralized compared to the French one.

6 List of Parliaments : Paris, Besançon, Toulouse, Bordeaux, Grenoble, Dijon, de Normandie, Aix, de Dombes, de Bretagne, Pau, Metz, de Flandres and Nancy.


8 “Event at the present days, English courts upon occasion will refer to Roman law in something like Bracton’s spirit in rare case where the native law give no guidance.” (Plucknett, 1956, p. 300). See also, Oliver (1936).
1278. In Paris, the teaching and learning of the Roman law was forbidden from 1219 (Decretal Super Speculum of Honorius III). The penalties for both students and teacher were excommunication and a ban on practicing law. The French king did not want that, in his capital, people studied texts saying that they must obey a Roman Emperor since his Germanic enemy pretend to be the Holy Roman Emperor. The justification was: “In Ile de France and others Provinces, we do not use the law of the Roman Empire.” Others argue this ban was a will of the Pope to promote the study of the Canon law. The study of the Roman law was allowed again in Paris in 1679 (Edict of Saint Germain en Laye by Louis XIV) but a strong primacy was given to courses and teachers of the French customary law.

A reverse causality is impossible according to the origin of the legal dichotomy

One could imagine that the richer part of France would have been able to adopt the more efficient legal institutions leading to a causal link from economic performance to legal regime. This theoretical assumption is rejected by the historical facts. The two legal regimes of Old Regime France are pure products of the hazards of history. The Roman law area is where Roman domination was the oldest and deepest. Then, this population has been the least mixed with barbarian invaders and retained its own specific law even under the domination of the Germans (Klimrath, 1837). The written law country is approximately the same as the territory previously occupied by Visigoths and Burgundians, which issued for their Gallo-Roman subjects the Lex Romana Burgundionum and, the influential Breviarium Alaricianum. Others also point out the role of the notaries to diffuse the rediscovered Roman law from Italy since 1160 (Gouron, 1957). In any case, this legal partition between customary and civil law is exogenous to the economic development that reached across modern France.

The dichotomy is clearly mentioned as soon as the 12th century. In 1164, the representatives of the Viscountess of Narbonne to Louis VII claim their territories fell under Roman law from immemorial time (Rigaudière, 1996). The term ‘written law country’ (jus scriptum) appears in 1250 in an Ordinance of Blanche de Castille (Chénon 1929, vol. 0, p. 488). This Ordinance confirms one specific land is under Roman law for immemorial times but can also be accepted as a general rule for the southern part of the country (Rigaudière, 1996). Official boundaries of the Roman law part of France are finally fixed during the 13th and 14th centuries. Thus, there was enough time to allow the theoretical effects of the civil law to express themselves.

Writing of customs

Before the 15th century, customs were sometimes written but without any official approval. In cases of a lawsuit, the judge stated if the applicable custom was known of him (notoire) or not. If the custom was not notoire, the judge required a “turbe” which was a group of at least 10 people who could confirm unanimously the existence of a custom (Pissard, 1910). This system is similar to what

---

9 January, 7, 1278, article 9: “Li advocats ne soient si hardis d’ens mesler d’alléguer le droit escrit là où les coustumes aient lieu, mais usent de coustumes.” (Rigaudière, 1996).
10 However, the Roman law remains taught in the University of Orleans.
12 About these debates, see Gioordanengo (1992).
13 Except the Poitou, Berry and Haute-Bourgogne which are under customary law.
was called the jury in England (Klerman and Mahoney, 2007). The system of *turbe* disappeared in 1667 after all the customs having been written. Customs have been gradually written in an official form after the Ordinance of Montil-Lès-Tours in 1454. The written customs were not a codification (Ourliac and Malafosse, 1968, p.8), the nature of the custom remains: « It is the people who made the law » (Coquille, 1665). Written customs are short documents: 190 articles for the custom of Paris written in 1510, then double that number when the custom of Paris was modified in 1580. Common law countries also have codes, such as the Uniform Commercial Code in the United States, and the many codes of the State of California (see, Weiss, 2000; Herman, 1996 and Donald, 1973). Merryman (1969) explains that the codes in common law countries often summarize prior judicial decisions, which is exactly the process of the written customs of the Old Regime France.

Customs also existed in written law countries but mainly for cities whereas the customs were for whole areas in customary law countries. But more importantly, these customs only deal with specific issues. When a novelty appeared in written law countries, the judge would have no choice but to respect Justinian law, whereas the judge in customary countries was free make a bespoke ruling. These southern urban customs were written early to affirm what was different in the local practice compared to the standard Justinian law, which was at that time rediscovered as a whole. For example, the custom of the city of Toulouse, published in 1286, officially stated that the previous jurisprudence of the municipal justice was to be applied in most cases to defend the local practices while the standard Roman law was applied by the royal justice in the whole County of Toulouse after its annexation in 1271.

*Judge-made law*

In a few cases, the King created the law through ordinances, but this was mainly for public and procedural issues (Chénon 1929, vol. 0, p. 530). A Parliament could refuse or correct the ordinance of the King (it was called a *Remontrance*) even if the king had the last word, but this long and complicated procedure was not used frequently (Chénon, 1929, vol. I, p. 347); La Fronde (1648-1653) is a civil war due to such a conflict between the King and the Parliaments. After 1730, several ordinances dealt with private law to try to unify rules across the kingdom. The result of this movement was the enhancement of the duality of the legal system, with a partial unification of the customary countries via the custom of Paris and a strict respect of Justinian code in written law country (Chénon, 1929, vol I, p. 317).

French judges were independent from the power. Ironically, venality made French judges more independent than their English counterparts, for example. Most French judges were not selected by the king, and he could not remove them except in extraordinary circumstances (Klerman and Mahoney, 2007). All offices were allowed to be officially sold in France from 1522 and inherited then from 1604 in exchange of an annual tax of 1/60 of the value of the inherited office and a tax of 1/8 in case of subsequent sale (Chénon, 1929, vol. I, p. 565). From a legal point of view, what were sold were the revenues of the office and not the office itself. This system is still the same today for the notary office; a notary should have a degree and the property of an office. A new officer (or after

---

14 Before 1522, it was admitted that an officer presents his successor leading to occult financial transactions.

15 After 1648 an additional tax of 1/6 is paid each 9 years.
a change of king) needed to obtain a “lettre de confirmation” from the King, which was only a tax because they had to pay for it (Bodin, 1576 in Chénon, 1929, vol. I, p. 341) and at a later stage they would have to pass an examination. The property of one office is soon considered as real estate and thus can be sold, exchanged, pawned and seized. This private property nature of the offices gave the judges a near-perfect level independence from the state, which exerted direct control over neither their promotion nor their resignation.

This independence helped Parliamentarians to affirm themselves. The Parliaments applied the rule of precedent (case law). Since they were the jurisdictions of last resort, one Parliament could judge a new case as an Arrêt de Règlement, meaning it will then always be judged in the same way. One Arrêt de Règlement is thus imposed onto inferior jurisdictions (Chénon, 1929, vol. 0, p. 530 and vol. I, p. 362). Due to the fact there were 14 different Parliaments, one Arrêt de Règlement could then be imitated in another Parliament or not. This procedure is similar to “precedent case” in the common law.

The wide freedom of judges to decide cases led to a very rich jurisprudence (even in written law country). Collections of cases are recorded. Each Parliament generates specific publications of their jurisprudence. Since the 18th century, these collections were presented in the form of dictionaries (Malafosse and Ourliac, 1968, vol. III, p. 17). The most comprehensive is an attempt to offer a universal jurisprudence of the 14 Parliaments called the Répertoire universel et raisonné de jurisprudence civile, criminelle, canonique et bénéficiale of Joseph-Nicolas Guyot, published between 1775 and 1783. It represents 65 volumes of about 550 pages each, meaning about 35,750 pages of jurisprudence.

Legal issues of economic problems (the adaptability channel)

A large part of this jurisprudence stemmed from economic issues. Legal origin theory first emphasizes the impact of the legal regime on the rules governing financial activities. Financial activities were developed early. Studies suggest that financial factors may have been even more important in promoting growth in the 17th, 18th and 19th centuries than they are today (Bordo and Rousseau, 2006). For instance, about 50,000 notary acts dealing with credit are observed in 1700 in Paris (Hoffman et al., 2001). A study of loans contracted in a town in southeastern France between 1630 and 1788 suggest that credit markets were both active and flexible (Rosenthal, 1993). A large and diversified credit market also existed in the rural, “less-developed” areas in the 17th century (Postel-Vinay, 1998). Legal differences within Old Regime France lead to various practices on the credit market with clearly observable north/south differences (Hoffman et al., 2004).

The written law countries could theoretically suffer from a lower adaptability due to the fact that several practices are forbidden by the Roman code. Such explicit ban cannot be skirt by the jurisprudence. For example, according to the Roman code, the interest rate is capped to a fixed rate according to their natures and the interests are not due after having sum up to the amount of the initial capital.16 The guarantor of a debt can remove his commitment according to five specific cases. The Roman code also contains limitations to the possibility to mobilize assets. It is forbidden to

16 The constraints on the payment of interest rates are actually worst in the judge-made laws of customary countries.
pledge the properties owned by even adult children since he remains under the power of the pater familias. A similar ban on pledge exists for the dowry even with the agreement of the wife (Guyot, 1779, vol. 30, p. 11-15). The wife also enjoys a general privilege before all kinds of creditors on the assets of her husband to recover her dowry making pledges always risky (Guyot, 1779, vol. 30, p. 33).

The financial activities suffered sometimes due to the legal fragmentation, making crucial the role of flexible jurisprudence which is expected to be higher in customary countries. For instance, the perpetual annuity, a standard financial tool of the time, was considered as movable property in several customs (Blois, Reims, Troyes, Lorraine...), as immovable property in others (Paris, Orléans...) and was unknown to the Justinian code. The owner of a perpetual annuity living under a custom accepting it as an immovable property was able to mortgage it as any real-estate. If the owner of the annuity were to move his home to a city under a custom considering perpetual annuity as movable property, the beneficiary of the mortgage lost his claim. In 1697, to solve this conflict, an arrêt of the Parliament of Paris said that the mortgage still existed despite this perpetual annuity not being an immovable property any more (Guyot, 1787, vol. 53, p. 445).

The economic modernizations of the 17th and 18th centuries require efficient legal tools. Before the industrial Revolution, the main economic issue was agriculture. The country as a whole was confronted by new farming methods, which required a total land property. In many cases, the owner of land shared its use with the community which owned usage rights, such as grazing rights (vaine pature meaning the entire ownership of a piece of land is limited to only a few months before the harvest, all the community are allowed to use it the rest of the time); personal use of wood for heating and building; the interdiction to change a pasture into a sown field; the gleaning right, which imposed a minimal size of the cut straw stems, leading to the ban of the scythe by several Parliaments and so on (Avenel, 1910). To be able to change the farming methods implies that property rights had previously been clarified. Another embedded property resulted from feudal rights. Most of lands were initially owned by a lord that had leased it in exchange for several rights (bail à cens). Depending on the contract, the owner of a land should have to pay a rent in nature (limiting the possibility to change the production) or to refer to his lord in many situations. These legal issues are also crucial when massive investments were to be undertaken to drain or irrigate unproductive lands (Rosenthal, 2009).

Theses economic changes are realized in a legal context which is the result of several centuries of accumulated rules and contracts grounded on the feudal society while the social organization has changed. Agents are constrained to find legal solutions to deal with outdated institutions. As an example, the cases from one feudal tax on transactions (called lods et vente) enforced by one lord is presented in an 800 pages book (Molière-Fonmaur, 1783). This book deals with numerous different cases of tax on agreement to sell, forced sale, auction, sale of rights, sale of mortgage, sale by the clergy, sale of easements, sale of the right to act, sharing, exchange, sale with right of repurchase, repurchase, gifts, dowries, inheritance, cancellation of sale, emphyteutic lease, sale by the land-lord, various forms of ownership (fief, usufruct, bare ownership, free-hold, attached objects, boats, mills ...), payment date, how to calculate the value of property, the tax rate according to the different regional customs and laws. So many issues for which the adaptability of the customary law is expected to provide better answers to new economic needs than the Roman law codified in the 6th century in the Eastern Roman Empire.
**The French state interventionism (the political channel)**

France has been built since the middle age by a gradual expansion of the French state leading to a tradition of state interventionism. The southern expansion of the French kingdom is facilitated by the Roman law. The king of France recognized the existence *ab antiquo* of Roman law and defined the areas as early as 1270. Then, the king used the legal role conferred to the Emperor by the Justinian code to assert his authority and power on southern territories. Acting as an Emperor in his kingdom, and thus being above the law, the French King used the Roman law to increase the rights of the crown.

Economics is involved in the expansion of the French state. According to North and Thomas (1973), Richelieu and Colbert pursue a policy providing to the French state a central role in the French economy especially using monopolies. The most important ordinance for business was the *Ordonnance de Commerce* (called Code Savary) promulgated for the whole France by Jean-Baptiste Colbert in 1673. But this first “code de commerce” was mainly a written account of the practices that were at that time followed by merchants. According to the legal origin theory, this general tendency of the French state to increase its power would find a more favorable legal context in the civil area than in the customary part of France.

2. Data

*Dummy variable for the legal regime*

To characterize the legal regime of each area, we use the work of Henri Klimrath (1837), *Carte de la France coutumière*, which provides a detailed limit of the customary and civil areas. Figure 1 shows the two legal systems and the frontiers of the jurisdictions of the 14 Parliaments. The civil law part of France was ruled by five sovereign courts: the Parliaments of Bordeaux, Toulouse, Pau, Aix, and Grenoble, the *Conseils souverains* of Roussilon and, for several specific locations, the Parliaments of Paris and Dijon. The rest of France is under customary law. In 1790, 86 Departments, based on geography, are substituted to the previous administrative entities. Each of these Departments lodges a commissary of the state (*Préfet*) in, most cases, the main city, which is then called the *Préfecture*. 48 of these Departments were previously under customary law, 31 under civil law and 7 partially under the two legal systems. We use these new entities, the Departments, in the following analysis.

---

17 A specific court of the Parliament of Paris was dedicated to civil law whereas most of its judicature was under customary laws.
Economic development measures

Data for Old Regime period are too limited to be used. Thus, we switch to data coming from the period just after the Napoleonic era. To measure economic outcomes, we use fiscal and census data: TAX paid per capita and the number of DOORS AND WINDOWS per capita in each Department. To assess the financial development, we measure the amount of CONTRACTS per capita using a tax paid on all notarized transactions mainly real estate transactions and loans. For simplicity, we use the term “economic development” to refer to each of these three measures. We used the census of 1822 to gain a measure of inhabitants per Department, to convert these three measures into per capita figures. We start the collection of data in 1817 because two years after the end of the Napoleonic Empire, the economic and administrative situation was stable enough to allow significant measures. To avoid any effect of local variation of the economic conditions, we use an average of five years (1817-1821).

Our first economic measure is called TAX. We collect the amount of direct taxes paid in each Department. These direct taxes will then be known as the “quatre vieilles” (four olds) since these four taxes remained unchanged for decades. The first one (contribution foncière) is a tax on the value of real estate (land and building) paid by the owner. The second one (contribution personnelle mobilière) is a tax on the value of houses, but paid by the occupant and not the owner. The third

---

18 Johnson and Koyama (2014) used data coming from the Généralités, but these administrative entities of the Old Regime were only 31 with few cases under the civil law. These data would lead to an unsatisfying number of observations. It was also impossible to find more detailed data to investigate differences just around the border of the legal regime.

19 Law (November, 3 and December, 1, 1790).

20 Law (January, 13 and February, 18, 1791).
one (patente) is a tax on business (trade and industry) mainly based on the value of the building occupied.\textsuperscript{21} The last one (portes et fenêtres) is a tax on the number of doors and windows visible from the street, again paid by the occupant and not the owner.\textsuperscript{22}

These taxes provide a measure of the economic development of one Department, which is comparable across France since rates and methods of taxation are similar. These taxes are only weakly dependent on the business cycle and could sometimes be underestimated since they are mainly based on a difficult exercise of valuation of properties. A taxpayer can contest the case of overestimation of the values used to tax but probably renounced to do it in case of underestimation. Thus, underestimation is probably more frequent than overestimation. Figure 2 shows the important differences across the country we observe. An average of 11.79 francs is paid by French people with inhabitants in Seine paying on average 13.69 times more than those in Corse (Table1).

Our second measure, CONTRACTS, is also fiscal data, but provides an assessment of financial development. We collect the amount of tax paid as Enregistrement in each Department. The “Droit d’enregistrement” is a tax paid on the value of all notarized contracts. Enregistrement tax is an efficient way to measure financial development as it has a fixed rate (depending on the kind of transaction) applied on all contracts recorded by a notary including gift-making and inheritance, but the main base of taxes are sales, personal loans and mortgages. Before the development of the banking system, notaries provided a large allocation of capital. Mortgages through notaries represented 40% of the GDP of France in 1840 (Hoffman et al., 2011). Figure 3 highlights that together with the Paris region, the Departments with the highest value of CONTRACTS per capita are those of the big commercial cities of Marseille, Lyon and Rouen. Extremes are again Seine and Corse with a magnitude of 16.91 times.

Our last measure is the number of DOORS AND WINDOWS per capita. We use the data of the census of 1822, which provides the number of doors and windows and this number is divided by the number of inhabitants of each Department according to this same census. This measure reflects the standard of living in terms of real estate, since it constitutes a proxy for the number of houses and rooms per capita. To enjoy a high number of DOORS AND WINDOWS per capita implies to have previously financed these buildings. France exhibits large variations in local architectures but there is nothing preventing the building of more houses, rooms and windows. One of these variations is the adaptation of the buildings to the heat in the south. But this adaptation encompasses a smaller overall size of windows in the south without an impact on the number of windows. Thus, the number of DOORS AND WINDOWS per capita is a suitable measure of the economic development reached in one area. On average a French person has 1.1 DOORS AND WINDOWS. Due to higher population density, Departments with important cities, as the Seine with Paris, do not exhibit high economic development according to this measure. The dispersion is more limited with the inhabitants of Eure enjoying 3.25 more DOORS AND WINDOWS than those of Creuse.

\textsuperscript{21} Law (March, 2 and 17, 1791).
\textsuperscript{22} Law (Frimaire, 4, an VII or March, 24, 1798).
Figure 2, Map of TAX paid per capita in each Department

Figure 3, Map of CONTRACTS per capita in each Department
3. Are civil law Departments less developed?

We begin by observing the relationship between the legal regime and economic development. We compare the average of our three measures of economic development in the 31 Departments under civil law and the 48 under customary law (7 were both under civil and customary law). The civil law Departments exhibit weaker results according to our three measures of development (Table 2, Sample A). An inhabitant of a customary law Department paid on average 26.32 % more TAX, CONTRACTS of 15.35 % more value and enjoyed 14.51 % more DOORS AND WINDOWS.

According to a T-test, these differences are significant at the 5 % level, except for CONTRACTS. We first check if the higher development remains true after having weighted the averages by the population of each Department. Indeed, Departments are quite similar on the surface but not in terms of population; 905,764 people live in the Nord compared to only 121,418 in the Hautes-Alpes. Since civil law Departments are generally less populous, the previous observations are reinforced when adjusted for population. The differences between civil and customary Departments are higher and significant at 1 % level except for CONTRACTS, which is however now significant at the 5% level (Table 2, Sample B).
Furthermore, the difference between the two samples is accentuated by the two extreme cases. The richest Department (except for DOORS AND WINDOWS per capita) is Seine, which encompasses Paris. In a centralized country such as France, a significant part of the wealth of Paris should come from the administrative position of the city. On the other extreme, Corsica is the poorest Department (except in terms of DOORS AND WINDOWS per cap.) which could be explained by the insularity and the relatively complicated political situation (France bought Corsica from the Genoese in 1768) suffering several wars during the 18th century. Thus, we measure these averages omitting Paris and Corsica as outliers. Excluding these two outliers, the differences strongly decrease and become non-significant (Table 2, Sample C).

Table 2. Economic development according to legal regime

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Customary</th>
<th>Civil</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>T-test (p-value)</th>
<th>Both</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAX</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>0.0108**</td>
<td>10.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACTS</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.1971</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOORS AND WINDOWS</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.0324**</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAX</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>0.0002***</td>
<td>10.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACTS</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.0262**</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOORS AND WINDOWS</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.0003***</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Panel B: All sample weighted by population

|                | Customary | Civil | Difference | T-test (p-value) | Both |
| TAX            | 11.95     | 10.53 | 1.42       | 0.1039          | 10.33|
| CONTRACTS      | 4.42      | 4.35  | 0.06       | 0.8536          | 4.00 |
| DOORS AND WINDOWS | 1.14     | 1.04  | 0.10       | 0.1433          | 0.85 |

Panel C: Without Corse and Seine

N. obs | 48  | 31  | 7

4. Does the legal regime explain the weaker development of civil law Departments?

Method

To assess if the legal regime explains the disadvantage we observed in civil law Departments, we control for an array of other potential determinants of economic development. We consider the following cross-Departments regression:

\[ E_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{CIVIL LAW}_i + \beta_3 X_i + \varepsilon_i \]

Where \( E_i \) is one of our three measures of economic performance for Department \( i \) (log. of TAX paid per capita, log. of CONTRACTS per capita or log. of DOORS AND WINDOWS per capita), \( \text{CIVIL LAW}_i \) is the legal regime dummy variable, equal to one in civil law Departments, \( X_i \) is a matrix of control variables for each Department (e.g. hours of sun, average altitude, presence of a Parliament...) and \( \varepsilon_i \) is the error term. The control variables are exogenous fundamental factors that are suspected of...
explaining the differences in economic development. We exclude the Departments crossed by the customary/civil law boarder. We retain 79 observations.\(^{23}\)

A negative and significant coefficient \(\beta_1\) is interpreted as evidence for a negative impact from the CIVIL LAW regime on economic development.

Before to include in a regression the others fundamental factors, we need to identify them. Thus, we realize preliminaries investigations for each category of factors namely climate, geography, political factors and in a last set, religion and knowledge. In a final regression, we retain all the factors previously identified as significantly associated with our measures of economic development.

*Controlling for climate*

Climate is the oldest exogenous variable suspected of impacting the economic development of an area. An early version of the geography hypothesis goes back to Montesquieu, who believed that climate, in particular heat, shaped human attitudes and motivation, which in turn affected economic outcomes. The direct effect of climate is verified by recent research, especially the negative effect of tropical locations (Sachs, 2001).\(^{24}\) The differences of climate within France are weak compared to international observations but could be strong enough to explain differences in economic development. We use the contemporary meteorological data (Météo France) from the Préfecture of each Department. The average maximum (TEMP. MAXIMUM) is 20.3 Celsius in the Department of Var versus only 12.6 in Nièvre. Similar differences are observed for the average minimum temperature (TEMP. MINIMUM), total rain in millimeters (RAIN) and number of hours of sun (SUN).

The results of Table 3 indicate that temperatures (TEMP.MINIMUM and TEMP.MAXIMUM) and the number of hours of sun (SUN) do not exhibit any correlation with our measures of economic development. But the quantity of RAIN over one year is negatively and significantly associated with our three measures of development (i.e. a high quantity of RAIN is associated with poor Departments). This observation is theoretically consistent with a country such as France (it is probably different in arid regions). Accepting that each Department receives enough RAIN, a high level of RAIN leads to problems for agriculture and higher costs for land transport when roads were frequently dirt and unsurfaced.

The dummy for CIVIL LAW always exhibits a negative coefficient i.e., civil law Departments are less economically developed. But this variable is non-significant in most of the specifications.

---

\(^{23}\) We exclude Cantal, Charente, Charente Inférieur, Haute Loire, Puy-de-Dôme, Saône-et-Loire and Haute Vienne.

\(^{24}\) We don’t use climatic and geographical variables as the source of the type institutions as proposed by the “endowment view” chaining natural endowments to colonization strategy, property rights and to the level of economic development (see Levine, 2005). This causal chain does not make sense for France.
Table 3. Economic outcomes and legal regime controlled by climate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Civil Law</th>
<th>Temp. Minimum</th>
<th>Temp. Maximum</th>
<th>Rain mm</th>
<th>Hours of sun</th>
<th>Adjusted R²</th>
<th>Obs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAX</td>
<td>-0.2677***</td>
<td>0.0217</td>
<td>-0.0074</td>
<td>-0.0008***</td>
<td>0.0763</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0055)</td>
<td>(0.4725)</td>
<td>(0.8205)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.2188*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0008***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0821)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.2121***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0069)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.2934**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0008***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0106)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0360</td>
<td>0.0411</td>
<td>-0.0654</td>
<td>-0.0009***</td>
<td>0.0767</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.7741)</td>
<td>(0.2721)</td>
<td>(0.1230)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACTS</td>
<td>-0.1036</td>
<td>-0.0084</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0046</td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.3063)</td>
<td>(0.7956)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0123</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0369</td>
<td>-0.0006**</td>
<td>0.0759</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.9261)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.2899)</td>
<td>(0.0116)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0962</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0006**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.2769)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0116)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.1525</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.2085)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0889</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
<td>-0.0806*</td>
<td>-0.0007***</td>
<td>0.0838</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.5379)</td>
<td>(0.6454)</td>
<td>(0.0988)</td>
<td>(0.0078)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOORS AND WINDOWS</td>
<td>-0.0916</td>
<td>-0.0145</td>
<td>-0.0342</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
<td>0.0222</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.1750)</td>
<td>(0.5020)</td>
<td>(0.1407)</td>
<td>(0.0078)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0003*</td>
<td>0.0442</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.8561)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0988)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.1024*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0003*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0920)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0988)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.1686**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
<td>0.0323</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0375)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0002)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0137</td>
<td>0.0137</td>
<td>-0.0675**</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
<td>0.0930</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.5379)</td>
<td>(0.8448)</td>
<td>(0.0403)</td>
<td>(0.1251)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-values are reported in parentheses. ***, ** and * represent significance at 1, 5 and 10% level respectively.

Controlling for geography

A contemporaneous strand of research explains international development by the direct impact of physical characteristics: countries being landlocked, distance from large markets or limited access to coasts and ocean navigable rivers (Sachs and Warner, 1997; Gallup et al., 1999; Malik and Temple, 2005). Geographical and climatic variables jointly account for 44% of contemporary variation in log current income using regressions across countries (Spolaore and Wacziarg, 2012). While geographical differences may not be as dramatic within France as across countries, they may still exert economic effects across Departments. We therefore test the robustness of the better economic outcomes of the customary Department including several geographical control variables.

Within France, all Departments do not benefit from a similar geography, providing exogenous advantages according to several physical characteristics. A first geographical advantage is the distance to the sea (KM TO SEA) measured as the number of kilometers between the Préfecture and the closest sea. Sea is at this time the best way to exchange thus, the further a Department is from the sea the less inhabitants can exchanged and specialize themselves in more advantageous activities. The positive effect of coast is empirically verified in the US (Rappaport and Sachs, 2003).
But in our sample of French Departments (see Table 4), the KM TO SEA seems unable to explain any part of the difference in the development.

This absence of a positive effect of the proximity of the sea could be due to another geographical advantage, which facilitated the exchange: the presence of navigable rivers. A navigable river provides about the same advantages in terms of exchange as the sea itself. Sokoloff (1988) shows that the patents per capita, used as a proxy for inventive activity are strongly and positively correlated with the proximity to navigable rivers. Some Departments are efficiently crossed by navigable RIVERS (up to 510 km), whereas 17 others do not have a single kilometer of navigable river. We use the kilometers of navigable rivers only and not kilometers of canals, since the building of a canal in a Department could be a result of a prior development, thus would be endogenous. The result of the regressions (Table 4) exhibits a positive and significant relationship of RIVERS with our three measures of economic outcomes.

A third geographical characteristic able to influence development is the average altitude of each Department (ALTITUDE). A high average is the result of the presence of hills and mountains leading to more costly land exchanges and to more complicated general activity. The difference is huge between four Departments with an average ALTITUDE higher than 1,000 meters (up to 1,671 meters for Hautes-Alpes) and others being very flat. The coefficient of the variable ALTITUDE is negative but only significant in explaining the TAX paid per capita.

A last geographic variable is the percentage of the surface of the Department which is unfavorable to agriculture. A national census of 1834 provides the surfaces in hectares of mountains, moors and heaths. These three kinds of grounds leads to poor agriculture and this cannot be changed by human activity (at the opposite woods and marshlands can be turned into field and grassland). These factors are thus exogeneous. The surface of these bad lands is divided by the total surface of the Department to achieve the percentage of BAD LANDS. This factor is significantly associated with our measure of wealth with the expected sign. It is important to notice that explaining CONTRACTS, the sign of the CIVIL LAW dummy switch to positive when BAD LANDS is controlled for.  

The main result of Table 4 is that the legal regime explanation is rarely robust to the geography according to our three measures of development. CIVIL LAW Departments do exhibit lower economic outcomes but this relationship is non-significant when we control for significant geographic factors.

---

25 We cannot use the variable “surface of arable land per capita” since it depends on the number of inhabitants within each Department, which is not an independent factor from the Department’s economic development.
Table 4. Economic outcomes and legal regime controlled by geography.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Civil Law</th>
<th>Km to sea</th>
<th>Rivers</th>
<th>Altitude</th>
<th>Bad Lands</th>
<th>Adjusted $R^2$</th>
<th>Obs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAX</td>
<td>-0.2515***</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
<td>0.0000**</td>
<td>0.0000***</td>
<td>-0.0005***</td>
<td>0.0728</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0060)</td>
<td>(0.6345)</td>
<td>(0.0313)</td>
<td>(0.0016)</td>
<td>(0.0016)</td>
<td>(0.0060)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.2381***</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000**</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>-0.3265**</td>
<td>0.1254</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0052)</td>
<td>(0.0600)</td>
<td>(0.0313)</td>
<td>(0.0192)</td>
<td>(0.0345)</td>
<td>(0.0060)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0784</td>
<td>-0.0000</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>0.0142</td>
<td>-0.0005***</td>
<td>0.0142</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.4059)</td>
<td>(0.6345)</td>
<td>(0.0192)</td>
<td>(0.0345)</td>
<td>(0.0016)</td>
<td>(0.0060)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0795</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>-0.3265**</td>
<td>0.2050</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.3829)</td>
<td>(0.3667)</td>
<td>(0.0192)</td>
<td>(0.0345)</td>
<td>(0.0060)</td>
<td>(0.0060)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0329</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>0.0142</td>
<td>-0.3265**</td>
<td>0.2163</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.7602)</td>
<td>(0.3667)</td>
<td>(0.0192)</td>
<td>(0.0345)</td>
<td>(0.0060)</td>
<td>(0.0060)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-values are reported in parentheses. ***, ** and * represent significance at 1, 5 and 10% level respectively.

Controlling for political factors

A third category of factors can potentially explain the level of development of a Department: the long-term effect of political factors mainly inherited from the hazard of historical events. The first manifestation of the existence of a political community is the border. The French frontiers are exogenous results of war, marriages and settlement of estates. We could suspect that the Departments on the FRONTIER might have suffered from limitation of exchanges. The variable FRONTIER is a dummy variable, equal to one for the borderer Departments. FRONTIER appears to be significantly negative in only one specification and exhibits several cases of positive coefficients.

A second administrative variable is the presence of one PARLIAMENT. Several administrative authorities are associated with the presence of a PARLIAMENT in a city. These multiple administrations take advantages of revenues coming from all the area which they control. We assume the presence of a PARLIAMENT is an exogenous variable since the location of one PARLIAMENT is the result of the hazard of history. They are mainly established in a former fiefdom of local lords who took power before being attached to the kingdom of France. They are often in big cities, but not always. For example, Trévoux has a PARLIAMENT despite being a small city (but the
origin of a previously powerful dynasty) and Lyon, the second city of the country, does not have a PARLIAMENT. Thus, this dummy for PARLIAMENT partially captures the presence of big cities but not solely. 14 PARLIAMENTS existed under the Old Regime. This dummy appears to be significantly associated with the economic development in all the specifications. Regressions including this variable show an R squared always above 0.10 (Table 5).

An important political variable is the distance from Paris (KM TO PARIS), measured as the crow flies from Paris to the Préfecture of the Department. Since Paris is the capital of the country, the distance from the capital reduces the opportunity to influence state decisions and profit from tax redistribution. The presence of the capital in Paris is exogenous since it is the result of the victory of a minor Frankish King, Clovis, whose armies drove away Roman soldiers from the city, which was not a Roman capital. Then, the successive military success of this kingdom over centuries brought about Paris’ status as the capital of the large territory we study in this paper. The KM TO PARIS is highly significant in all specifications to explain lower levels of economic development.

The impact of the wars since the Revolution could also have affected more strongly several Departments. To control for this potential effect, we build a series of dummy variable with value 1 for a Department where a battle took place. The battles are those of the civil wars and wars with foreign armies. This series is never associated with our measure of economic development.

Controlling for these political variables, Table 5 reveals that CIVIL LAW Departments exhibit significant coefficients both positive and negative. The point is that when the KM TO PARIS is controlled for, the sign of the coefficient of CIVIL LAW changes from negative to positive. Moreover, the positive coefficient of CIVIL LAW is significant when CONTRACTS and DOORS AND WINDOWS are explained.


27 The variable KM TO PARIS is strongly correlated (0.72) with the dummy variable CIVIL LAW since the Departments under civil law are in average farther from Paris than those under customary law. Despite this correlation, the two variables are significant when CONTRACTS is explained in Table 5. We observe that the variable KM TO PARIS is not just a proxy for CIVIL LAW because KM TO PARIS is significant even on a subsample only composed by Departments under customary law (see in Appendix). The effect of the distance to Paris appears similar both in civil and customary Departments with close signs, values and R² when our three measures of economic development are regressed on KM TO PARIS in the two subsamples (see in Appendix).

28 We also investigated the consequences of wars using Vallée (1956) which reproduces the tables measuring population and number of conscripts per Department from the military administration of the Empire (not reproduced in the paper). The percentage of conscripts is positively correlated with the economic development. Indeed, the conscription was stronger in Departments rich enough to provide soldiers whereas poor areas (such as Brittany) were partially exempted. Due to this endogeneity, it is not possible to control for a potential negative effect of the Napoleonic wars.
Controlling for religion and knowledge

A last set of regressions is run to control for the impact of the legal regime by cultural effects, namely Protestantism and distance to knowledge. Protestantism is one of the classical cultural institutions that have been presented as favorable to economic development since Weber (1930). Stulz and Williamson (2003) update the work of Weber (1930) arguing that British legal origin countries are primarily Protestant, while French legal origin countries are overwhelmingly Catholic. They argue that legal origin proxies for religious and cultural differences that influence financial development and that difference in legal tradition, per se, is not crucial for explaining current levels of financial development. Stulz and Williamson (2003) identify three channels through which culture can influence economic outcomes: institutions, values of the society and resource allocation. We can suppose that the percentage of Protestants in modern France was not enough to influence institutions, but the two other channels may have a potential impact in Departments with a large Protestant community.

France is an excellent laboratory to test the positive effect of Protestantism since important protestant populations were concentrated in several areas since the 16th century. Despite terrible
Religious wars and the huge emigration of Huguenots to northern Europe, a large protestant community remained established in France up to the 20th century. We do not have high quality data for the presence of Protestants before the 19th century, thus we use the % OF PROTESTANT in each Department as measured by the census of 1866. We assume this figure is a correct proxy for the presence of Protestants for centuries, which is confirmed by the historical narrative (Cabanel, 2012) and the correlation with a series of the 274 places allowed for Protestants in 1620 (de Dainville, 1968) ranked by Departments. There is substantial cross-Department variation in the % OF PROTESTANT in the population, ranking from 0 % in several Departments up to 30.77 % in Bas-Rhin (Alsace) and 28.66 % in Gard (Cévennes). If several protestant families, such as Peugeot, are known to have been successful entrepreneurs, other Protestant communities, such as in Cévennes, remained underdeveloped. The % OF PROTESTANT is never significant to explain the economic outcomes among Departments, with sometimes a negative coefficient (Table 6). This absence of relation between Protestantism and economic development confirms Delacroix and Nielsen (2001); they conclude that Weber’s purported link between Protestantism and economic outcome is a “myth”. Becker and Woessmann (2009) do not find a positive effect of the specific ethic of Protestantism in Prussian counties after having controlled for literacy.

A last variable we use is a measure of the access to knowledge through the distance in kilometers of each Préfecture to the closest university (KM TO UNIVERSITY). 21 universities were created in France between 1215 and 1538 to teach especially law. We can therefore expect a positive effect of a university, to spread knowledge, especially law, and to improve economic relations. The closest university sometimes corresponds to the closest important city, but not always. As an example, the modest city of Cahors lodges a university founded in 1331 because the Pope John XXII was born in this city. On the other hand, the big city of Lyon does not have a university before the 20th century. This variable is always highly significant with the expected sign strongly increasing the R squared (Table 6).

Here, the CIVIL LAW dummy exhibits negative coefficients after control for % OF PROTESTANT and KM TO UNIVERSITY especially when TAX paid is explained.

---

29 We count the number of places in each modern Department. Many Departments do not have a single place when the maximum is 30 in the Gard. This second series exhibit a correlation of 0.75 with the series of the census of Protestants in 1866. To help comparison with existing literature (LLSV, 1999 and Beck et al., 2000 and 2001 also use the fraction of a population that practices a given religion in the multiple regressions in their international studies), we retain as variable the percentage of Protestant according to the census.

30 Paris in 1215, then Toulouse, Montpellier, Avignon, Orléans, Cahors, Grenoble, Angers, Orange, Aix, Besançon, Poitiers, Caen, Bordeaux, Valence, Nantes, Bourges, Reims, Douai, Nancy and Strasbourg in 1538.
Does the legal regime explanation remain robust to a combination of control variables?

Since the previous regressions of several kinds of variables exhibit diverging results regarding both the impact and the significance of CIVIL LAW, a combination of control variables along with CIVIL LAW are added together to account for their joint influence on economic development. We retain the seven variables which have proved to have been able to explain our measures of development as a single control for the legal regime. We thus use RAIN, RIVERS, ALTITUDE, BAD LANDS, PARLIAMENT, KM TO PARIS and KM TO UNIVERSITY.

Table 7 shows the results of these regressions. KM TO PARIS and KM TO UNIVERSITY are significantly correlated with our three measures of economic development with the expected (negative) sign. The adjusted R-square are relatively high (>0.4) except when explaining DOORS AND WINDOWS per capita. Furthermore, when adding the CIVIL LAW dummy variable to the regressions, the adjusted R-square only weakly rises, or even decreases.

More important, all results converge to find a positive coefficient of CIVIL LAW, contradicting legal origin theory; economic development is higher for CIVIL LAW Departments after having controlling for these seven variables. This positive coefficient is significant at the 5 % level in explaining the value of CONTRACTS per capita. This unexpected observation of a positive effect of CIVIL LAW warrants further investigation.

Table 6. Economic outcomes and legal regime controlled by Protestantism and knowledge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Civil Law</th>
<th>% of Protestant</th>
<th>Km to University</th>
<th>Adjusted R²</th>
<th>Obs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAX</td>
<td>-0.2372***</td>
<td>-0.1520</td>
<td>-0.0034***</td>
<td>0.0705</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0073)</td>
<td>(0.8452)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.2019***</td>
<td>-0.0017***</td>
<td>-0.0016***</td>
<td>0.3108</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0078)</td>
<td>(0.0015)</td>
<td>(0.0027)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.1950**</td>
<td>-0.9636</td>
<td>0.1393</td>
<td>0.3198</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0230)</td>
<td>(0.1610)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACTS</td>
<td>-0.1290</td>
<td>1.0023</td>
<td>-0.0038***</td>
<td>0.0138</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.1615)</td>
<td>(0.2270)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0726</td>
<td>-0.0038***</td>
<td>0.2798</td>
<td>0.1210</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.3534)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0529</td>
<td>0.1535</td>
<td>-0.0038***</td>
<td>0.2706</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.5471)</td>
<td>(0.8331)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOORS AND WINDOWS</td>
<td>-0.1190*</td>
<td>0.5904</td>
<td>-0.0038***</td>
<td>0.0310</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0546)</td>
<td>(0.2863)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0920</td>
<td>-0.00017***</td>
<td>0.1389</td>
<td>0.1419</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.3534)</td>
<td>(0.0015)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0691</td>
<td>0.2229</td>
<td>-0.0016***</td>
<td>0.1294</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.2391)</td>
<td>(0.6778)</td>
<td>(0.0027)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-values are reported in parentheses. ***, ** and * represent significance at 1, 5 and 10% level respectively.
5. Are the Civil law Departments less financially developed?

The first prediction of law and finance theory is that differences in legal regime can explain differences in financial development. Furthermore, there is a substantial body of work suggesting that a high level of financial development promotes economic growth (Pasali, 2013). Thus, we previously assumed that the two successive causal relationships have been in action: better legal regime → higher financial development → better economic outcomes. Then, we have used our three variables measuring both economic and financial development.

Since the relationship between legal regime and economic outcomes is not verified and even at times appears to be the reverse of what was expected, we go back to the first prediction of the legal origin theory, testing only: better legal regime → higher financial development. Thus, in this section, we only focus on financial development as measured by the amount of contracts per capita (CONTRACTS). To test if civil law is really unfavorable to financial development, we use the two other variables of economic outcomes (TAX and DOORS AND WINDOWS) as control variables for the dummy variable CIVIL LAW. We run the regression thereafter to explain the CONTRACT per capita using several specifications. These regressions answer the question: are the CIVIL LAW Departments less financially developed after controlling for the general wealth?

\[ \text{CONTRACT}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{CIVIL LAW}_i + \beta_2 \text{TAX}_i + \beta_3 \text{DOORS AND WINDOWS}_i + \epsilon_i \]

Table 8 provides the results of these regressions. It is not a surprise to observe that the amount of CONTRACTS per capita is heavily influenced by the economic development measured by the TAX paid per capita and the number of DOORS AND WINDOWS per capita. The R-squared is approximately 0.74. Adding the dummy for CIVIL LAW Departments weakly improves the R-squared to 0.75. We do find a significant impact of CIVIL LAW, but observe a positive sign. Thus, controlling for the general level of development, the CIVIL LAW regime leads to a higher CONTRACTS per capita. This result is not consistent with legal origin theory.
6. Robustness checks on sample used

We again run the test of the effect of the legal regime controlled by a combination of factors (Table 7) and the test of the financial development controlled by economic outcomes (Table 8) which are our two most demonstrative regressions but using two different samples.

Are the results robust to the exclusion of two outliers?

We exclude the two extreme cases which are Corsica and Seine for the reasons explained in section 3. The main conclusion of our test on our three measures of development remains similar after the omission of Seine and Corsica. Contradicting the legal origin theory, the CIVIL LAW Departments exhibit higher economic outcomes. But, this difference is only significant for our measure of CONTRACTS per capita (Table 9, Sample A).

The test of the financial development (CONTRACTS) controlled by the economic outcomes (TAX and DOORS AND WINDOWS) excluding Seine and Corse also confirms previous results (Table 10, Sample A). After having controlled for the wealth of a Department, the CIVIL LAW areas exhibit a higher inclination to contract according to the amount of taxes paid on contracts recorded by notaries. This figure is significant at the 5 % level.

Do the results remain robust to the effect of the legal frontier?

We can suspect that our observations of the impact of legal regime on development are biased by noisy data. Departments bordering the frontier between civil and customary regimes could suffer cross-influence due to the diffusion of both peoples and institutions from the two sides of the border. We have historical evidence of the diffusion of civil law: seven customs close to the limit of customary law countries has been influenced by Roman law, especially accepting Roman law when the custom is unclear (Chénon, 1929). 31 To control for this potential noise in the data, we exclude all

31 It was the case of the customs of Berry, Haute-Marche, Auvergne, Bourbonnais, Bourgogne, Franche-Comté and Trois-Evêchés.
the Departments bordering the frontier: three Departments of customary law bordering civil law country and five Department of civil law bordering customary law country.\textsuperscript{32}

This sample confirms the previous results. For our three measures of economic performance, the CIVIL LAW dummy obtains a positive coefficient which is significant at 5% level for CONTRACTS per capita only. Using TAX and DOORS AND WINDOWS per capita to explain the CONTRACTS per capita, the regression on the sample without bordering Departments shows a similar positive and significant coefficient.

### Table 9. Economic outcomes and legal regime controlled by a combination of factors on different samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Civil Law</th>
<th>Rain Rivers</th>
<th>Altitude</th>
<th>Bad Lands</th>
<th>Parliament</th>
<th>Km to Paris</th>
<th>Km to University</th>
<th>Adjusted R²</th>
<th>Obs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAX</td>
<td>0.0721</td>
<td>-0.0007***</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0803**</td>
<td>-0.0086</td>
<td>0.0308</td>
<td>-0.0004**</td>
<td>0.0013*</td>
<td>0.4619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0030)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 10. Financial development controlled by economic outcomes on two different samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>CIVIL LAW</th>
<th>TAX</th>
<th>DOORS AND WINDOWS</th>
<th>Adjusted R²</th>
<th>Obs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample A: Without Seine and Corse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONTRACTS</strong></td>
<td>0.1016**</td>
<td>0.5097***</td>
<td>0.5638***</td>
<td>0.7045</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0213)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample B: Without Départements bordering the frontier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONTRACTS</strong></td>
<td>0.1237**</td>
<td>0.6999***</td>
<td>0.5159***</td>
<td>0.7580</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0204)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-values are reported in parentheses. ***, ** and * represent significance at 1, 5 and 10% level respectively.

### 7. Potential explanations

Our results reject the legal origin theory: after control for other factors, the CIVIL LAW dummy is not statistically correlated to our measures of economic outcomes and exhibit a positive sign in many specifications. The CIVIL LAW appears even associated with a significant positive effect especially on the contracting activity (CONTRACTS). These results require further explanations. First, our measures

\textsuperscript{32} Creuse, Allier, Jura and Dordogne for the customary area Corrèze, Lozère, Loire and Ain for the civil area.
of economic performances could be biased especially CONTRACTS. The higher propensity to contract using a notary could be an old path dependency because the notarial activity originated from Italy, diffusing more quickly in southern France than in the North or a reversal effect could be imagined, the uncertainty in the southern institutions leads to the use a notary to record contracts more frequently than in the north. These two potential explanations should be abandoned since the use of a notary is, at this time, a legal obligation to guarantee the payment of the taxes. Another explanation could be the effect of the Revolution, which more strongly affected the credit market in the north than in the south. The inflation of the assignats allowed borrowers to reimburse perpetual annuities in devaluated money. This effect was not homogenous across France, since the perpetual annuity (rente) was the dominant financial tool, 60% of the loans, in northern France compared to only 36.6% in the south (Hoffman et al., 2004). But this assignats episode is more a transfer of values between debtors and creditors than a destruction and took place 27 years before our observations. Thus, our measures appear difficult to challenge.

Second, the legal origin theory could be false. There is maybe no effect of the legal regime on the economic outcomes when main other factors are similar as it is the case in the Old Regime France. But according to our results on the contracting activity (CONTRACTS), the CIVIL LAW regime appears to be significantly positive. This calls for a theoretical explanation. A theoretical advantage of the Civil law is to reduce the transaction costs. The written nature of CIVIL LAW lowers the transaction costs by reducing the uncertainty thanks to a stable legal context and the standardizing of contracts. An unexplored effect of the current legal regimes is the cost of justice which represents today 2.6% of the US GDP versus only 0.6 in France or 0.8 in Switzerland (Association Henri Capitan, 2006).

This rejection of legal origin theory within Old Regime France joins various researches. Musacchio and Turner (2013) summarize historical findings challenging the law and finance theory. Before 1914, French civil law countries do not exhibit a lower financial development (Rajan and Zingales, 2003 and Musacchio, 2010). Other researches do not observe that the legal environment is more favorable to the financial development in common law countries. The development of the US stock market over the 20th century is not consistent with the law and finance theory (Cheffins et al., 2013). Measuring the changes in the rules over time for several countries, Armour et al. (2009) cast some doubts on the plausibility of the mechanisms that have been said to underpin the links posited between legal origins and financial development. Comparing the business law in France and the United States during the 19th, it is found that the contracting environment in the United States was neither freer nor more flexible than in France (Lamoreaux and Rosenthal, 2005). According to the companies traded on the Milan stock exchange during the 20th century, Aganin and Volpin (2003) argue that the corporate ownership in Italy does not provide strong support for the law and finance view. Franks et al. (2003) stress that it was not until 1980 that English Parliament enacted strong minority shareholder rights statutes. Using 51 bankruptcy laws from 15 countries during the 19th century, Sgard (2006) does not observe a determinant effect of legal origin. Oto-Peralias and Romero-Avilia (2014) found that the effect of the legal tradition on the financial development depends on the initial endowment.

33 The first obligation to use a royal notary for perpetual annuities and other commitments dates back to 1535 even if this was not completely respected at this time, Guyot, 1779, vol. 30, p. 21.
Conclusion

This study contributes to the debate on the causes of institutions favorable to economic development. Specifically, it provides a unique opportunity test of the very attractive but highly discussed legal origins theory on a new experimental field. We use the historical legal division of France: the north relying on customary laws close in principles to the current common law, and the south under the codified Roman civil law. This dichotomy induces the same difference as the one stressed by legal origin theory between current civil law and common law countries. The theoretical mechanisms of increased rights given to the state in civil law and more flexibility to judges in customary law are valid within the Old Regime France.

To use the French legal dichotomy provides an escape from most of the difficulties faced by previous research analyzing the legal origin theory. Differences in geography, people, technology, genetics and age of institutions are very weak within France compared to international comparisons. Our sample does not suffer from potential bias due to the conditions of the transplantation of the legal system; in contrast, the two legal systems were born in the territory centuries ago. This paper also presents the first test of the legal origin theory free of any English influence, neither in terms of culture nor Protestantism. Finally, this analysis uses homogeneous measures through a unique fiscal and census process.

In sum, the legal origins theory is not confirmed by the analysis of Old Regime France. People living under customary laws did benefit from a higher level of development but this difference can be explained by other fundamental factors. The difference in favor of the customary area is never robust when we control for one of these factors: climate, geography, policy and knowledge. Unlike the theory, the civil law regime appears to be associated with higher levels of contracting activity after having controlled for a combination of fundamental causes. This favorable effect of the civil law on contracting activity is also found after the control for the general level of wealth; for a similar wealth, a French person living under civil law contracts more than his counterpart living under customary law. At least, the main legal origins theory prediction of the negative effects of civil law is rejected for Old Regime France. This result constitutes a motivation to investigate the potential positive effect of the civil law in reducing transaction costs and to look for factors determining the quality of institutions other than those of legal origin.
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Table 11. Economic outcomes and distance from Paris according to the legal regime

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Customary Law Departments</th>
<th></th>
<th>Civil Law Departments</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Km to Paris</td>
<td>Adjusted R²</td>
<td>Obs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAX</td>
<td>2.7131***</td>
<td>-0.0008***</td>
<td>0.1469</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0042)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACTS</td>
<td>1.7426***</td>
<td>-0.0010***</td>
<td>0.1478</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0041)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOORS AND WINDOWS</td>
<td>0.2685***</td>
<td>-0.0006**</td>
<td>0.0815</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0009)</td>
<td>(0.0277)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>